UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,810	01/09/2006	Naoteru Honda	1422-0705PUS1	5357
	7590 04/01/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		MERCIER, MELISSA S		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		1	0/563,810	HONDA ET AL.	HONDA ET AL.				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit					
		M	ELISSA S. MERCIER	1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNICA In no event, however, may a reply pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS se the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this coned (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 1-8-10							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		. prosecution as to th	e merits is				
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-12</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ed or b) Objected to by	the Examiner					
.0/	Applicant may not request that any object	-							
			- · ·	, ,	ER 1 121(d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
	-	for foreign pri	ority under 35 H S C - 8 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
۵/۱	·—								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date					
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	mal Patent Application							

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

Receipt of Applicants Remarks, Amended Claims, and Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 filed on January 8, 2010 is acknowledged. Claims 1 and 4-12 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendments to the claims to clarify the claim language regarding the parts by weight, the antecedent basis for the term "the monoesters", and recitation of Markush groupings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Hojo et al. (US Patent 7,264,834) has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment to the claims to recite a diglycerol fatty acid ester and further in view of Applicants Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 which shows different functional properties of the disclosed polyglycerol fatty acid esters of Hojo and the diglycerol fatty acid esters of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,810 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

The rejection of claims 1 and 4-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Hojo et al. (US Patent 6,808,726) has been withdrawn in view of Applicants incorporation of claims 2 and 3 into claim 1.

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nanbu et al. (US Patent 6,074,675) has been withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment to claim 1.

Newly Applied Rejections/Objections Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim 5 comprises language related to future intended use of the composition and does not contain any additional structural limitations to distinguish itself from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claims 1 and 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamoto et al. (US 2003/0021994).

Kawamoto discloses an ultra fine particle dispersion comprising ultra fine particles having an average particle size of 10-60nm in a plasticizer (abstract). The particles can be zinc oxide (paragraph 0021). The disperability of the particles can be improved by the addition of a dispersing agent, which is preferably a polyglycerol fatty acid ester such as deglycerolize monolaurate, diglycerol monopalmilate, diglycerol monopalmitate, diglycerol monopalmitate, diglycerol monostearate, for example (paragraph 0029). It is noted that Applicants has identified these polyglycerol fatty acid esters as those which meet the functional and structural limitations recited in the instant claims.

Regarding claim 7, the use of enzymatic ally decomposed lecithins is not disclosed, thereby meeting the limitation of the claim.

While it is acknowledged the specific combination of components is not exemplified and the use of the polyglycerol fatty acid ester is an additional component and not a required component of the Kawamoto reference, it is disclosed as suitable for use. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the dispersing agent into the composition of Kawamoto since he disclosed it allows for the improved ability to form a homogenous dispersion.

The instant claims differ from the references only in the specific percentage selected for the compositions. However, It would have been deemed prima Facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the

amount of the dispersing agent, to prepare a composition containing ultra fine particles is well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art, and the artisan would be motivated to determine optimum amounts to get the maximum effect of the active compounds. Therefore, the invention as Whole has been prima face obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA S. MERCIER whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/563,810 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

(571)272-9039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Mon through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Wax can be reached on (571) 272-0623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melissa S Mercier/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

> /Robert A. Wax/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615